

United States District Court

District of Massachusetts

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 5/16/2018 at 11:17 AM EDT and filed on 5/16/2018

Case Name: Strahan v. Administrator, National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Agency
et al
Case Number: [1:18-cv-10392-DJC](#)
Filer:
Document Number: 58(No document attached)

Docket Text:

Judge Denise J. Casper: ELECTRONIC ORDER entered. The Court has reviewed Mr. Strahan's various pending motions and rules as follows:

Mr. Strahan seeks to disqualify attorney Johnson from representing non-federal Defendants, D. 15, but attorney Johnson has entered an appearance only for federal Defendants, D. 14, and has not acted to represent any other Defendants. The Court thus denies Mr. Strahan's motion, D. 15, as moot.

Mr. Strahan also seeks to disqualify attorney Crable and/or enjoin the Department of Justice and NOAA from stopping their employees from communicating with Mr. Strahan. D. 55. The Court sees no legal or equitable basis for disqualifying Attorney Crable or interfering in Defendants' attorney-client relationship and DENIES this emergency motion, D. 55.

The Court DENIES Mr. Strahan's emergency discovery motion, D. 54, for the reasons it articulated in denying Mr. Strahan's request for a subpoena of related data, D. 28. D. 56.

Lastly, the Court has reviewed Mr. Strahan's emergency motion to correct its order on his TRO, D. 56. D. 57. Courts hold TROs and preliminary injunctions to the same standard and considers the same four factors, see, e.g., Int'l Ass'n of Machinists & Aero. Workers, Local Lodge No. 1821 v. Verso Paper Corp., 80 F. Supp. 3d 247, 278 (D. Me. 2015); Schofield v. Clark, 686 F. Supp. 2d 124, 126 (D. Mass. 2010), as this Court did with Mr. Strahan's TRO and the Court has explained the reasons for its denial of Mr. Strahan's TRO motion, D. 56. The Court thus DENIES this emergency motion, D. 57.

(McKillop, Matthew)